## Professor Sir David Watson award for Community University Partnerships

## Nomination Form

This form is for anyone wishing to nominate a community university partnership programme. Once you have downloaded and completed the nomination form, please email it to cupp@brighton.ac.uk

No more than 1 nomination is allowed from each university and programmes must be at least 2 years old to be nominated.

Each nomination requires a signed letter of support from the head of the university (President, Vice-Chancellor or Rector) and one from the community partner organisation (Director, Chief Officer or Chair of the Board). A scanned copy of the letters or an email from each should be sent to cupp@brighton.ac.uk

## Deadline for receipt of nominations: 29 March 2019

Nominations will be judged on the following selection criteria:

1. **Community-University Partnership and mutual benefit**
	* Is there joint university and community partner leadership of the project or programme throughout the process of design, delivery, governance and dissemination
2. **University Support**
	* Has the university demonstrated commitment to promoting community partnerships?
	* Has the university supported this programme, either financially, in kind, or through policy and recognition of its value?
3. **Impact**
	* To what extent has this programme positively affected the community?
	* How many community members have benefited, and to what degree?
	* Has the programme addressed social disadvantage and if so how?
	* How has the programme enriched teaching and research at the university?
	* Are there any other benefits for the university?
4. **Sustainability**
	* How will the programme find the needed resources to continue running into the future?
	* What policies or mechanisms support the ongoing success of the programme?
	* Are communities engaged in sustaining the programme for the long-term?

University Information

Name of University:

Country of University:

Nominator Information

What is your name?

What is your title?

How are you connected to the programme?

Email:

Alternate email: Phone:

Nominated Programme Information

Name of programme:

Programme Website (if available):

Brief programme mission statement (limit 100 words):

# Programme director, manager or coordinator (if different from nominator)

Name: Title:

Email:

Alternate email:

Telephone:

When was the programme established? (This must be at least 2 years prior to nomination deadline)

Total number of students involved in the most recent academic year:
Total number of institution faculty or staff involved in the most recent academic year:
Total number of community members involved in the most recent academic year:
Total number of community practitioners involved in the most recent academic year:

Narratives

1. Please provide a brief overview of the programme, describing each of the following in order: history (when the programme was established, who established it and for what purpose); how the programme is currently run in partnership between the university and the community; the main goals of the programme (300-400 words).
2. What is (are) the primary issue(s) addressed through this programme. How were these issues identified and how are they significant concerns of the community? How do you involve the community in decision making about programme goals? Include any relevant historical background or contextual information. (200-300 words)
3. What has the programme accomplished to date? Please be specific in describing positive outcomes,

using qualitative and quantitative examples when available. (200-300 words)

1. Do community partners have leadership roles in the programme? What roles do they play? (100-200 words)
2. How has the programme affected teaching and/or research at the university? (200-300 words)
3. How engaged or supportive of this programme is the university? What resources are provided by

the university? Are faculty, staff or administrators involved in the leadership or advising of the programme? (100-200 words)

1. How will the programme find the needed resources to continue running into the future? What policies or mechanisms support the ongoing success of the programme? Are communities engaged in sustaining the programme for the long-term? (200-300 words)
2. The financial element associated with the Professor Sir David Watson award will be presented to the community-university programme to support continuation of its work. The award will also provide public recognition and publicity for the programme. Describe what difference you anticipate the award making to your programme. (250 words)